IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

PAUL KWAKE, an individual, DANIEL CAMPBELL, an individual, DOROTHY HAWLEY, an individual, and GARY ROMINE, an individual,

Plaintiffs,

v.

FARIBORZ PAKSERESHT, in his official capacity as Director of Human Services, State of Oregon; et al.

Defendants.

MCSHANE, Judge:

On April 27, 2018, Plaintiffs Mr. Kwake and Mr. Romine moved for a preliminary injunction. ECF No. 15. On May 31, 2018, the Court issued an Opinion and Order denying the motion. ECF No. 30.

On May 21, 2018, before the court ruled on the motion, Plaintiffs filed an amended motion for preliminary injunctive relief which is now before this court. ECF No. 28. Because the amended motion for preliminary injunctive relief does not establish a likelihood of success on

Case No. 6:18-cv-00603-JR

OPINION AND ORDER

Case 6:18-cv-00603-JR Document 56 Filed 06/27/18 Page 2 of 2

the merits or a likelihood that plaintiffs will suffer irreparable harm without immediate relief, the

Amended Motion for preliminary injunctive relief is DENIED.

The amended motion does not change the substance of the argument made in the original

motion, but simply adds factual information regarding two new plaintiffs and deletes information

regarding Mr. Vindhurst, who is no longer a plaintiff in this case. See Am. Mot. Prelim. Inj. ¶¶ 1,

30, 33-34, 36, ECF No. 28. The Court incorporates its reasoning as laid out in its original

Opinion and Order (ECF No. 30).

Plaintiffs' amended motion for preliminary injunction (ECF No. 28) is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 27th day of June, 2018.

/s/Michael J. McShane____

Michael J. McShane United States District Judge

2 – OPINION AND ORDER